

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION	NO. FII	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/888,056	5 0	6/22/2001	Kenneth Kornman	MSA-023.01	6975
25181	7590	02/21/2003			
	HOAG, LLF			EXAMINER	
155 SEA	APORT BLVD		ENTER WEST	CHAKRABARTI, ARUN K	
BOSTO	N, MA 02110			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
				1634 DATE MAILED: 02/21/2003	15

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Application No.

Applicant(s)

09/888,056

Kornman

Office Action Summary

Examiner

Arun Chakrabarti

Art Unit 1634



	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears	on the cover sheet with the correspondence address					
	or Reply	*					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.							
	- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136 (a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the						
mailing	date of this communication. eriod for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within th						
- If NO p	eriod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply a	nd will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.					
	to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the ply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the						
	patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status 1) X	Responsive to communication(s) filed on Jun 22, 2	001					
2a) 🗀							
3) 🗔	Since this application is in condition for allowance eclosed in accordance with the practice under Ex par	except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is arte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213.					
	ion of Claims						
4) 💢	Claim(s) <u>1-37</u>	is/are pending in the application.					
4	a) Of the above, claim(s)	is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) 🗌	Claim(s)	is/are allowed.					
6) 🗆	Claim(s)	is/are rejected.					
7) 🗆	Claim(s)	is/are objected to.					
8) 💢	Claims <u>1-37</u>	are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.					
Applicat	tion Papers						
9) 🗌	The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.							
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the d						
11)	The proposed drawing correction filed on	is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.					
	If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply t	o this Office action.					
12)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exami	ner.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120							
13) 🗌	13) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a) 🗆	All b) ☐ Some* c) ☐ None of:						
. 1	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have	e been received in Application No					
3	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority do application from the International Burea	ocuments have been received in this National Stage au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).					
*Se	e the attached detailed Office action for a list of the	e certified copies not received.					
14)	Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e).					
a) \square The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.							
15)	Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic	priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.					
Attachme	ent(s)						
1) Not	ice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s).					
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)							
3) Info	rmation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s).	6) X Other: Detailed Action					

Art Unit: 1634

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restriction

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
 - Claims 1-27, drawn to method of screening a drug, classified in class 436, subclass
 501.
 - II. Claims 28-34, drawn to cells, classified in class 435, subclass 240.2.
 - III. Claims 35-37, drawn to primers and reagents, classified in class 536, subclass 22.1.
- 2. The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons: Inventions of Groups I and II are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different modes of operation, different functions, or different effects (MPEP § 806.04, MPEP § 808.01). In the instant case the different inventions of method of screening drugs of Group I is not disclosed as capable of use together with cells of Group II and the cells have different modes of operation, different functions (e.g., production of DNA, RNA, and proteins or antibodies), or different effects than method of screening drugs.
- 3. Inventions of Groups I and III are related as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product (MPEP §

Application/Control Number: 09/888,056 Page 3

Art Unit: 1634

806.05(h)). In the instant case, the nucleic acids of Group III can be used in the method of screening a drug of Group I or can be used in the production of DNA, RNA, and proteins or antibodies.

- 4. Inventions of Groups II and III are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different modes of operation, different functions, or different effects (MPEP § 806.04, MPEP § 808.01). In the instant case the different inventions of cells of Group II are not disclosed as capable of use together with primers and reagents of Group III and the cells have different modes of operation, different functions (e.g., production of DNA, RNA, and proteins or antibodies), or different effects than primers and reagents.
- 5. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 6. Claims 4,6, 19, 21, and 30 are generic to a plurality of disclosed patentably distinct species comprising 21 structurally different alleles. Claims 5 and 20 are generic to a plurality of disclosed patentably distinct species comprising 27 different diseases. Claim 7 is generic to a plurality of disclosed patentably distinct species comprising 7 structurally different biomarkers. Claim 22 is generic to a plurality of disclosed patentably distinct species comprising 6 structurally different biomarkers. Claim 27 is generic to a plurality of disclosed patentably distinct species comprising 11 structurally different inducers. Claim 28 is generic to a plurality of disclosed patentably distinct

Application/Control Number: 09/888,056 Page 4

Art Unit: 1634

species comprising 5 structurally different alleles. Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for each claim, even though this requirement is traversed.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

7. A telephone call was made to John Quisel on February 13, 2003 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

8. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(I).

Conclusion

9. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Arun Chakrabarti, Ph.D., whose telephone number is (703)

Art Unit: 1634

306-5818. The examiner can normally be reached on 7:00 AM-4:30 PM from Monday to Friday. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Gary Benzion, can be reached on (703) 308-1119. The fax phone number for this Group is (703) 305-7401. Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Group analyst Chantae Dessau, whose telephone number is (703) 605-1237.

Arun Chakrabarti,

Patent Examiner,

February 14, 2003

Home Kr. Chakroleayoh ARUNK. CHAKRABARTI PATENT EXAMINED